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1.0 STATEMENT OF WORK

1.1 Summary. The Arizona Board of Regents (ABOR), on behalf of the University of Arizona (UA), is soliciting proposals from interested vendors to furnish the University with an IT and Business Service Management Solution, which can be used by the broad and diverse campus community, supporting individual department services, central services and federated (collaboratively provisioned) services. Proposed solutions may either be an On Premise solution (hosted by the UA), or a SAAS solution (hosted by the vendor), or a Hybrid. In the spirit of best practice, the University wishes to implement a solution which incorporates principles of structured service management methodologies, such as ITIL. The institution wishes to implement a solution that incorporates the key functions of service definition and management; service request, event, incident and change management; problem resolution; service level and knowledge management; and, asset and configuration management. The institution wishes to implement a solution which is highly configurable, incorporates flexible yet robust workflows to manage the daily ‘activity life cycles’ necessary to ‘get work done,’ with an intuitive and easy to use expression, for all users all roles.

IT and Business Service Management activities impact the overall operation of the institution and therefore impacts directly or indirectly each student, each faculty and each staff member, reflecting operational continuity and maturity to the broader Arizona, the Higher Education and the Research communities. A cohesive solution which supports a collaborative, integrated and insightful service delivery operation is necessary for the institution to meet its goals identified in the Never Settle Strategic Plan.

The University of Arizona represents a collection of diverse interests and areas of study, in a highly distributed environment, with high levels of autonomy as to how work is accomplished. As an example, the central IT organization has about 300 staff; the broader campus community has an additional 800-900 IT focused staff. With a goal of increasing effectiveness by identifying services prime for collaboration, reducing redundant or repetitive work, improving daily workflows and communications, the campus community has joined together in this RFP to identify a single common solution that will benefit the broad organization. Collaborating to deliver specific (federated) services, while maintaining local control of local non-federated services is fundamental to the adoption of any proposed solution. The ability to share cohesive operations and service delivery information to the right audiences at the right time, reflecting both local and institutional data is fundamental to the success of any proposed solution.

Vendors are encouraged to consider and understand the University of Arizona’s history and culture, and the collaborative spirit with which the campus community has come together in this RFP, to provide the most cohesive and satisfying proposal necessary to meet the University’s goals.
1.2 **Coverage and Participation.** The intended coverage of this RFP and any Agreement resulting from this solicitation shall be for the use of all Departments at the University of Arizona. The other State Universities, Arizona State University (ASU) and Northern Arizona University (NAU), along with Pima Community College (PCC) and any other educational institution or Governmental entity may access an Agreement resulting from this solicitation issued and administered by the University of Arizona.

2.0 **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 **Agreement / Contract.** All types of agreements entered into by the Arizona Board of Regents, regardless of what they may be called, for the procurement of materials, services or construction, or the disposal of materials. Meaning is interchangeable.

2.2 **Customer.** Unless otherwise implied by the context of the specific provision within this RFP, "Customer" means a customer of the vendor, other than the University.

2.3 **Contractor.** Same as Successful Vendor.

2.4 **May, Should.** Indicates something that is not mandatory but permissible, recommended or desirable.

2.5 **MST.** Mountain Standard Time. We do not observe Daylight Savings Time.

2.6 **Must, Shall, Will.** Indicates a mandatory requirement. Failure to meet these mandatory requirements may result in the rejection of your proposal as non-responsive.

2.7 **Proposal.** The entirety of the vendor's responses to each point of this RFP, including any and all supplemental offers or information not explicitly requested within this RFP.

2.8 **Proprietary Information.** Information held by the owner that if released to the public or anyone outside the owner's organization, would be detrimental to its interests. It is an issue of fact rather than opinion. Pricing and/or revenues cannot be considered proprietary or confidential.

2.9 **Provider.** Same as Vendor.

2.10 **Request for Proposals (RFP).** A competitive process under which discussions and negotiations are allowed, it is not to be confused with a Request for Bid (RFB), in which goods or services are precisely specified and price is substantially the only competitive factor. This RFP provides the University the flexibility to negotiate to arrive at a mutually agreeable relationship. Price will be considered, but will not be the only factor of evaluation.

2.11 **Respondent.** Same as Vendor.

2.12 **Response.** Same as Proposal.

2.13 **Responsible Vendor.** A person who has the capability, including necessary experience, to perform the contract requirements; who has the integrity and reliability which will ensure good faith performance and appropriate quality of the materials, services, construction or
construction services, to be provided; and who is in compliance with any and all licensing requirements of the State of Arizona.

2.14 **Responsive Vendor.** A person who submits a proposal which conforms in all material respects to the Request for Proposals.

2.15 **Successful Vendor.** Any vendor selected by the University to receive a notice of award as a result of this RFP and to enter into a contract to provide the University with the products or services sought by this RFP.

2.16 **Supplemental Agreement.** Any supplemental terms and conditions agreed to by the parties in writing, which take precedence over all other documents governing the transaction.

2.17 **Supplier.** Same as Vendor.

2.18 **University.** Arizona Board of Regents (ABOR), a body corporate, for and on behalf of the University of Arizona.

2.19 **Vendor.** For purposes of this RFP, "Vendor" means any entity responding to this RFP with the intention of winning the resulting award of contract, performing the work, and/or delivering the goods specified herein.

2.20 **Vendor’s Proposal.** Same as Proposal.

2.21 **Vendor’s Response.** Same as Proposal.

3.0 **GENERAL INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS**

3.1 **Original RFP Document.** The Office of Procurement and Contracting Services shall retain the RFP, and all related terms and conditions, exhibits and other attachments, in original form in an archival copy. Any modification of these, in the vendor’s submission, is grounds for immediate disqualification.

3.2 **University Demographics.** For information about the University of Arizona, please visit the University’s Internet web page at: [www.arizona.edu](http://www.arizona.edu). For specific demographic information, visit [http://oirps.arizona.edu/UAFactBook.asp](http://oirps.arizona.edu/UAFactBook.asp).

3.3 **Schedule of Events.** The following is the tentative schedule that will apply to this RFP, but may change in accordance with the University’s needs.

- 09/09/2015 Issuance of RFP
- 09/25/2015 Technical Questions/Inquiries due no later than 2:00 PM/MST
- 10/16/2015 RFP is Due, October 16, 2015, no later than 2:00 PM, MST
- 11/06/2015 Vendor Presentations (to be completed no later than, and only if necessary)
- 11/20/2015 Complete Evaluations
- 12/01/2015 Award Notification
- 01/04/2016 Implementation Planning / Implementation Begins

3.4 **Pre-Proposal Conference.** Not Applicable.

3.5 **Pre-Proposal Site Visit.** Not Applicable.
3.6 Accommodations for People with Disabilities. If the vendor or any of the vendor's employees participating in this RFP need, or have questions about the University's accommodations for people with disabilities, please make arrangements with Carissa Pasewark at telephone # 520-626-8899, facsimile # 520-626-6764, email address carissap@email.arizona.edu. Such requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation(s).

3.7 PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

3.7.1 Vendor’s Understanding of the RFP. In responding to this RFP, the vendor accepts the responsibility fully to understand the RFP in its entirety, and in detail, including making any inquiries to the University as necessary to gain such understanding. The University reserves the right to disqualify any vendor who demonstrates less than such understanding. Further, the University reserves the right to determine, at its sole discretion, whether the vendor has demonstrated such understanding. Related to this, the University’s right extends to cancellation of award if award has been made. Such disqualification and/or cancellation shall be at no fault, cost, or liability whatsoever to the University.

3.7.2 University Provides Information in Good Faith without Liability. All information provided by the University in this RFP is offered in good faith. Individual items are subject to change at any time. The University makes no certification that any item is without error. The University is not responsible or liable for any use of the information, or for any claims attempted to be asserted therefrom.

3.7.3 Verbal versus Written Communication. Verbal communication shall not be effective unless formally confirmed in writing by the specified University procurement official in charge of managing this RFP’s process. In no case shall verbal communication override written communication.

3.7.4 Questions, Communications and Inquiries between the University and Vendors. All Vendor inquiries, questions and requests for clarification related to this RFP are to be directed, in writing (email and facsimile are also acceptable), ONLY to the Buyer listed below. Once this RFP has been sent out, Vendors are not to contact any University Department, other than Procurement and Contracting Services, concerning this RFP, or risk disqualification (see Section 3.7.1 above):

For U.S. Mail – Only (Please note that all U.S. Mail, including Express Mail, is delivered to the University’s Postal Services office and is then distributed to University Departments, including Procurement and Contracting Services, which may delay it by a day or more):

The University of Arizona
Procurement and Contracting Services
PO Box 210300
Tucson, Arizona 85721
Attn: Carissa Pasewark

For other methods of delivery (e.g. FedEx, UPS, etc.):

The University of Arizona
Applicable terms and conditions herein shall govern communications and inquiries between the University and vendors, as they relate to this RFP.

**Informal communications** shall include but are not limited to requests from/to vendors or vendors' representatives of any kind or capacity, to/from any University employee or representative of any kind or capacity, with the exception of the Purchasing Department, for information, comments, speculation, etc. Inquiries for clarifications and information that will not require addenda may be submitted verbally to the Buyer named above, at any time.

**Formal communications** shall include but are not limited to the following.

- Questions concerning this RFP must be submitted in writing, and be received no later than September 25, 2015, 2:00 PM/MST.
- Errors and omissions in this RFP and enhancements. Vendors shall bring to the University’s attention any discrepancies, errors, or omissions that may exist within this RFP. Vendors shall recommend to the University any enhancements in respect to this RFP, which might be in the University’s best interests. These must be submitted in writing, and be received no later than September 25, 2015, 2:00 PM/MST.
- Inquiries about technical interpretations must be submitted in writing, and be received no later than September 25, 2015, 2:00 PM/MST.
- Inquiries for clarifications / information that will not require addenda may be submitted verbally to the Buyer named above at any time during this process.
- Verbal and/or written presentations and pre-award negotiations under this RFP.
- Addenda to this RFP.

Informal communications shall cease on the date of distribution of this RFP and formal communications shall commence. On the date that the University notifies responding vendors of this RFP’s results and executes the resulting contract with the successful Vendor, informal communications may resume and formal communications may cease.

**3.7.5 Addenda and the University’s Response to Communications from Vendor.** The University will make a good-faith effort to provide a written response to each question or request for clarification that requires addenda within five (5) University business days.

*All addenda will be posted to our web site only:*
Vendors who want the addenda supplied to them in another form must notify Carissa Pasewark of that requirement. Otherwise, it will be the vendor’s responsibility to check the web site for any additional information and addenda concerning this RFP.

The University will not respond to any questions / requests for clarification that require addenda, if received by the University after September 25, 2015, 2:00 PM/MST.

3.7.6 Pricing and/or Revenue Proposal. Vendors shall indicate pricing and/or revenue offers in the appropriate spaces and/or areas provided in this RFP. The University may presume and hold as the vendor’s final offer all pricing and/or revenue offerings, whether stated as amounts or percentages, and/or whether or not offered on an all-or-none basis, if not specified by the vendor. The University may accept or reject in part or entirely the vendor’s pricing and/or revenue offerings when such offerings are not on an all-or-none basis. Vendor’s pricing and/or revenue proposals may not be modified after the RFP Due date and time unless University at its sole discretion decides that future negotiations will only enhance the Vendor’s offer to University. Should University decide that such negotiations would not be in University’s best interests, pricing and revenue offer by Vendor at Due date and time may be considered by University as the Vendor’s best and final offer. Unless otherwise specifically proposed by the vendor, the University reserves the right to hold such pricing and/or revenue proposal as effective for the entire intended contract term. The University may prescribe the manner and method by which pricing and/or revenue offerings shall be communicated in the vendor’s proposal. The University may reject any proposal in which the pricing and/or revenue offering does not conform to such prescribed manner and method. Vendors shall indicate pricing and/or revenue offers in the appropriate spaces and/or areas provided in this RFP. Vendors shall ensure that any departure from this condition results in an offer that is clearly cross-referenced to the applicable sections within this RFP. For any material departure from this condition, vendors shall provide clear and unambiguous explanations how the departure relates in detail to the applicable sections within this RFP. If the vendor responds with an "All-or-None" proposal, it shall be clearly and unambiguously marked as such.

3.7.7 Revisions to the RFP. The University may revise any part of this RFP for any reason by issuing addenda. The University will communicate additional information and addenda to this RFP by posting them on our web site.

Vendors that want the revisions supplied to them in another way must notify the Buyer listed in this document of that request. Otherwise, it will be the vendor’s responsibility to check the web site for any additional information and addenda concerning this RFP.

Vendors are responsible for the information contained in such addenda, whether or not they acknowledge receipt. The University is under no obligation to communicate such addenda to vendors who notify the University that they will not be responding to this RFP. The University may determine whether an addendum will be considered
as part of this RFP and/or as part of any resultant contract. The University shall reject vendors' responses to addenda if such responses are received after the RFP Due date and time.

3.7.8 **Attention to Terms and Conditions.** Vendors are cautioned to thoroughly understand and comply with all matters covered under the Terms and Conditions section of this RFP. The successful Vendor is expected to enter into a form of agreement approved by the Arizona Board of Regents. The University agreement terms and conditions included in this RFP are intended to be incorporated into this agreement. Proposals that are contingent upon any changes to these terms and conditions may be deemed to be non-responsive and may be rejected.

3.7.9 **Required Signatures.** The University may reject any vendor's response if it is not signed as indicated and/or required by the areas, spaces, or forms provided within this RFP.

3.7.10 **Proposal Organization.** Vendors shall present proposals in a format that can be readily incorporated into a contract. Vendors may present narrative proposals provided that such proposals follow the same outline and numbering scheme of this RFP, including full descriptive cross-references to all requirements listed in Section 5.0. Vendors should ensure that their proposals include page numbers and are organized in a manner that will facilitate the University's evaluation of them. The University reserves the right to reject without prior notice and without liability of any kind or amount any proposal that it deems overly complex, disorganized, or difficult to evaluate. The University reserves the right to make such a decision without any input or communication from any other party. Vendors shall ensure that, at a minimum, their proposals contain the components set forth in the following list.

- Original required sections from this RFP
- Any additional responses in corresponding sequence order
- Any additional supporting data

3.7.11 **Collusion Prohibited.** In connection with this RFP, vendor collusion with other vendors or employees thereof, or with any employee of the University, is prohibited and may result in vendor disqualification and/or cancellation of award. Any attempt by the vendor, whether successful or not, to subvert or skirt the principles of open and fair competition may result in vendor disqualification and/or cancellation of award. Such disqualification and/or cancellation shall be at no fault or liability whatsoever to the University.

3.7.12 **Improper Business Relationships / Conflict of Interest Prohibited.** In connection with this RFP, each vendor shall ensure that no improper, unethical, or illegal relationships or conflict of interest exists between or among the vendor, the University, and any other party to this RFP. The University reserves the right to determine the materiality of such relationships, when discovered or disclosed, whether intended or not; and to decide whether or not vendor disqualification and/or cancellation of award shall result. Such disqualification and/or cancellation shall be at no fault or liability whatsoever to the University.

3.7.13 **Corrections, Changes, and Providing Information on Forms within the RFP.** Vendors shall ensure that an authorized individual initials each correction using pen
and ink. Vendors shall use pen and ink or typewriter in providing information directly on pages, or copies thereof, contained within this RFP.


3.7.15 Performance and / or Payment Bonds. Not Applicable.

3.7.16 Anti-Kickback. In compliance with FAR 52.203-7, the University has in place and follows procedures designed to prevent and detect violations of the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 in its operations and direct business relationships.

3.8 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND SUBSEQUENT ACTION

Proposals must be delivered sealed; be received and be date / time stamped at the University’s Procurement and Contracting Services office reception desk, which is located on the 5th floor of the University Services Annex Building, 220 W. 6th Street, Tucson, AZ 85701, no later than October 16, 2015, 2:00 PM MST.

Vendors, please be advised that it is your sole responsibility to ensure that your proposal is received as described in the paragraph above. The University shall not be responsible for any delay’s that may occur.

Proposals must be delivered sealed to:

For U.S. Mail – Only (please note that all U.S. Mail, including Express Mail, is delivered to the University’s Postal Services office and is then distributed to University Departments, including Procurement and Contracting Services, which may delay it by a day or more):

The University of Arizona
Procurement and Contracting Services
P.O. Box 210300
Tucson, Arizona  85721
Attn: Carissa Pasewark

For other methods of delivery (e.g. FedEx, UPS, etc.):

The University of Arizona
Procurement and Contracting Services
University Services Annex, Bldg. 300A
220 W. 6th Street, 5th Floor
Tucson, Arizona  85701
Attn: Carissa Pasewark

no later than October 16, 2015, 2:00 PM MST. The University shall not accept proposals received by facsimile or email. The University shall, at the specified Due date and time, accept all proposals that are otherwise in order. The University will allow interested parties to be present for purposes of identifying which vendors have responded. The University will make no immediate decision at such time, and there will be no disclosure of any information contained in any proposal until after formal notice of award and execution of any contract resulting from this RFP. When multiple solicitations have been scheduled to open at the same date and time, the University will open solicitations that have interested individuals present in sequential order by solicitation number. The University will hold
unopened any proposals received after the Due date and time, and will not consider such proposals. The University reserves the right to retain or dispose of such proposals at its discretion; however, the University may return such proposals to their related vendors, but only at such vendor’s request and at no cost or expense whatsoever to the University.

If the University determines that due to an insufficient number of proposals received, it would be in the University’s best interest, the University may extend the Due date in order to determine why other vendors did not respond and to encourage other vendors to respond.

3.8.1 **Proposal Costs.** The University is not liable in any manner or to any extent for any cost or expense incurred by any vendor in the preparation, submission, presentation, or any other action connected with proposing or otherwise responding to this RFP. Such exemption from liability applies whether such costs are incurred directly by the vendor or indirectly through the vendor’s agents, employees, assigns or others, whether related or not to the vendor.

3.8.2 **Faxes and Emails Not Accepted.** The University shall not accept proposals received by fax or email.

3.8.3 **Number of Proposal Copies to be Furnished.** Vendors are to submit two (2) original, in hardcopy form, along with a copy in electronic form, on a CD, flash drive or other removable storage device, in either Microsoft Word or as an Adobe PDF file. In addition, vendors are to return an electronic version of the Microsoft Excel Detail Specifications Response file, must be returned as a separate file, completed, with:
  3.8.3.1 No columns added or removed
  3.8.3.2 No rows added or removed
  3.8.3.3 No changes to the Worksheet or Cell formatting
  3.8.3.4 Microsoft Excel .xlsx format
  3.8.3.5 Vendors are encouraged to use the Microsoft Excel Alt Enter function to insert line breaks in the verbose text response column, when desired.

3.8.4 **Binding and Marking.** Vendors shall ensure that the original and each copy are individually bound. When submitting more than one (1) proposal, vendors shall ensure that units are clearly marked; for example, as "Original of Proposal One," "Copy One of Proposal One," "Original of Proposal Two," "Copy One of Proposal Two;" and so on.

3.8.5 **Marking of Envelopes.** Vendors shall ensure that the submittal envelope(s) clearly and conspicuously display the following identifying information in addition to any other information otherwise required for transmittal, and are sealed.

Sealed RFP # L201602
October 16, 2015, 2:00 PM MST

3.8.6 **Withdrawal of RFP.** Vendors may withdraw their proposals any time prior to the RFP Due date and time. Vendors may request to withdraw their proposals after the RFP Due date and any time prior to selection and notice of award. The University shall have sole authority to grant or deny such a request. In the event the University grants such a request, it may withhold issuing future RFP’s to such vendors.

3.8.7 **University's Right to Use Vendor's Ideas / Proprietary Information.** If the vendor needs to submit proprietary information with the proposal, the vendor shall ensure
that it is enclosed in a separate envelope from the proposal and that it is clearly designated and conspicuously labeled as such.

The University shall have the right to use any ideas that are contained in any proposal received in response to this RFP, along with any adaptation of such ideas. Selection or rejection of the proposal shall not affect the University's right of use. Provided, however, that the University will, in good faith, honor any vendor information that is enclosed in a separate envelope from the proposal and clearly designated and conspicuously labeled as proprietary, and the University concurs that the information is proprietary. The envelope must also contain the reason(s) why the enclosed material is to be considered proprietary. Trade secrets or other proprietary data contained in the proposal documents shall be maintained as confidential in accordance with procedures promulgated by the Procurement Officer and subject to limitations in Arizona or Federal law. Pricing information cannot be considered proprietary or confidential. The University shall not be liable in any manner or in any amount for disclosing proprietary information if such information is not clearly so designated and conspicuously so labeled. The University shall likewise not be liable if it did not know or could not have reasonably known that such information was proprietary. At no time will the entire proposal be considered proprietary and be kept confidential.

3.8.8  The University shall have the right to use any ideas that are contained in any proposal received in response to this RFP, along with any adaptation of such ideas. Selection or rejection of the proposal shall not affect the University's right of use. Provided, however, that the University will, in good faith, honor any vendor information that is enclosed in a separate envelope from the proposal and clearly designated and conspicuously labeled as proprietary, and the University concurs that the information is proprietary. The envelope must also contain the reason(s) why the enclosed material is to be considered proprietary. Trade secrets or other proprietary data contained in the proposal documents shall be maintained as confidential in accordance with procedures promulgated by the Procurement Officer and subject to limitations in Arizona or Federal law. Pricing information cannot be considered proprietary or confidential. The University shall not be liable in any manner or in any amount for disclosing proprietary information if such information is not clearly so designated and conspicuously so labeled. The University shall likewise not be liable if it did not know or could not have reasonably known that such information was proprietary. At no time will the entire proposal be considered proprietary and be kept confidential.

3.9  EVALUATION PROCESS AND AWARD

3.9.1  Contractual Intent / Right to Terminate and Recomence RFP Process. The University intends to contract with one or more vendors whose proposal(s) are considered to be in the best interests of the University. However, the University may terminate this RFP process at any time up to notice of award, without prior notice, and without liability of any kind or amount. Further, the University reserves the right to commence one or more subsequent RFP processes seeking the same or similar products or services covered hereunder.

3.9.2  Effective Period of Proposals. Under this RFP, the University shall hold that vendors' responses to this RFP shall remain in effect for a period of ninety (90) days following the Due date, in order to allow time for evaluation, approval, and award of
the contract. Any vendor who does not agree to this condition shall specifically communicate in its proposal such disagreement to the University, along with any proposed alternatives. The University may accept or reject such proposed alternatives without further notification or explanation.

3.9.3 **Proposal Acceptance/Rejection.** The University reserves the right to reject any or all proposals. Such rejection may be without prior notice and shall be without any liability of any kind or amount to the University. The University shall not accept any proposal that the University deems not to be in its best interests. The University shall reject proposals submitted after the Due date and time.

3.9.4 **Errors and Omissions in Vendors Proposals.** The University may accept or reject any vendor's proposal, in part or in its entirety, if such proposal contains errors, omissions, or other problematic information. The University may decide upon the materiality of such errors, omissions, or other problematic information.

3.9.5 **Determination of and Information Concerning Vendor's Qualifications.** The University reserves the right to determine whether a vendor has the ability, capacity, and resources necessary to perform in full any contract resulting from this RFP. The University may request from vendors information it deems necessary to evaluate such vendors' qualifications and capacities to deliver the products and/or services sought hereunder. The University may reject any vendor's proposal for which such information has been requested but which the vendor has not provided. Such information may include but is not limited to:

- Financial resources
- Personnel resources
- Physical resources
- Internal financial, operating, quality assurance, and other similar controls and policies
- Resumes of key executives, officers, and other personnel pertinent to the requirements of the RFP
- Customer references
- Disclosures of complaints or pending actions, legal or otherwise, against the vendor

3.9.6 **Apparently Conflicting Information Obtained by Vendor.** The University is under no obligation whatsoever to honor or observe any information that may apparently conflict with any provision herein, regardless of whether such information is obtained from any office, agent, or employee of the University. Such information shall not affect the vendor's risks or obligations under a contract resulting from this RFP.

3.9.7 **Rejection of Vendor Counter-offers, Stipulations and Other Exceptions.** Any vendor exception, stipulation, counter-offer, requirement, and/or other alternative term or condition shall be considered rejected unless specifically accepted in writing by the University and thereafter incorporated into any contract resulting from this RFP.

3.9.8 **Method of Award.** Each response to this RFP will be reviewed for its overall competence, compliance, format, and organization. Proposals which the University deems overly complex, disorganized, or difficult to evaluate may be rejected in accordance with Section 3.7.10 of this RFP. The award shall be made to the
responsive and responsible vendor whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the University of Arizona, taking into consideration the following evaluation criteria listed in the relative descending order of importance. Pricing must be a criterion. However, the University is under no obligation whatsoever to select, as most responsive the proposal that demonstrates the lowest pricing.

Limiting Criteria

- Meeting the specifications to the satisfaction of the University.

Evaluation Criteria

- Detailed Specification Line Items (Section 5.2.1)
- Customer References (Section 5.2.2)
- Pricing: Overall cost range of project (Section 5.2.3)
- Implementation Plan (Section 5.2.4)
- Vendor Roadmap (Section 5.2.5)
- Vendor Presentation (Section 5.2.6)

The contract will consist of the University's RFP, the proposal with any and all revisions, award letter, and/or purchase order, and/or the signed agreement between the parties, as stated in that agreement.

3.9.9 Selection, Negotiation, Additional Information. Although the University reserves the right to negotiate with any vendor or vendors to arrive at its final decision and/or to request additional information or clarification on any matter included in the proposal, it also reserves the right to select the most responsive and responsible vendor or vendors without further discussion, negotiation, or prior notice. The University may presume that any proposal is a best-and-final offer.

3.9.10 Pre-Award Presentations. The University reserves the right to require presentations from the highest ranked vendors, in which they may be asked to provide information in addition to that provided in their proposals.

3.9.11 Pre-Award Negotiations. The University reserves the right to negotiate prior to award with the highest ranked vendors for purposes of addressing the matters set forth in the following list, which may not be exhaustive.

- Resolving minor differences and scrivener's errors
- Clarifying necessary details and responsibilities
- Emphasizing important issues and points
- Receiving assurances from vendors
- Obtaining the lowest and best pricing and/or revenue agreement

3.9.12 Notification of Non-Selection. The University reserves the right not to notify vendors whose RFP responses are not selected for further consideration or notice of award. If the University decides to notify such vendors in writing, it will send the notifications to the address indicated in each such vendor's proposal. Once the award has been finalized, a notice of award may be posted on our website.

3.9.13 Vendor's Need to Use Proprietary Rights of the University. All information proprietary to the University and disclosed by the University to any vendor shall be
3.9.14 Public Record. After the award and execution of a contract resulting from this RFP, vendors' proposals become public record and are available for review during the University's regular office hours. The University will, in good faith and to the extent allowed by law, honor any vendor information that is clearly designated and conspicuously labeled as proprietary, and the University agrees that the information is proprietary. If the vendor needs to submit proprietary information with the proposal, the vendor shall ensure that it is enclosed in a separate envelope from the proposal and that it is clearly designated and conspicuously labeled as such. The envelope must also contain the reason(s) why the enclosed material is to be considered proprietary. At no time shall the entire proposal be considered proprietary and be kept confidential. The University shall not be liable in any manner or in any amount for disclosing proprietary information if such information is not clearly so designated and conspicuously so labeled. The University shall likewise not be liable if it did not know or could not have reasonably known that such information was proprietary. Pricing information cannot be considered proprietary or confidential.

3.9.15 Certification. By signature on the “Proposal Certification” form included herein, the Vendor certifies that the submission of the proposal did not involve collusion or other anti-competitive practices. The Vendor has not given, offered to give, nor intends to give at any time hereafter any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor, or service to a public servant in connection with the submitted proposal. In addition, Vendor certifies whether or not any employee of the University has, or has a relative who has, a substantial interest in any Agreement that may result from this RFP. Vendor also certifies their status with regard to debarment, or suspension by any Federal entity.

Failure to provide a valid signature affirming the stipulations required by this clause shall result in the rejection of the submitted proposal and, if applicable, any resulting Agreement. Signing the certification with a false statement shall void the proposal and, if applicable, any resulting Agreement. Any resulting Agreement may be subject to legal remedies provided by law. Vendor agrees to promote and offer to the University only those services and/or materials as stated in and allowed for under resulting Agreement(s).

4.0 AGREEMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The following are the Terms and Conditions that will become part of any Agreement consummated between the University and the Successful Vendor. In the event of a conflict between any provisions contained in any of the documents governing this transaction, the following shall be the order of precedence: Supplemental Agreement; Request for Proposals; Proposal.

4.1 Actions of Successful Vendor. The University is under no obligation whatsoever to be bound by the actions of any Successful Vendor with respect to third parties. The Successful Vendor is not a division or agent of the University.

4.2 Advertising. The Successful Vendor shall not advertise or publish information concerning the Agreement without prior written consent of the University. The University shall not unreasonably withhold permission.
4.3 Americans with Disabilities Act and Rehabilitation Act. The Successful Vendor will comply with all applicable provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Rehabilitation Act, and all applicable federal regulations.

All electronic and information technology and products and services to be used by University faculty/staff, students, program participants, or other University constituencies must be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act as amended and the Rehabilitation Act. Compliance means that a disabled person can acquire the same information, engage in the same interactions, and enjoy the same services as a nondisabled person, in an equally effective and integrated manner, with substantially equivalent ease of use.

4.3.1 Electronic and Information Technology. Any acquisition considered electronic and information technology (EIT) as defined by the Access Board at 36 CFR 1194.4 and in the FAR at 2.101 must comply with Section 508 (36 CFR Part 1194) and requires the submission of a completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Template (VPAT) so that the University of Arizona may ascertain conformance. Proposals or bids without a completed VPAT may be disqualified from competition. The UA Guide to the VPAT and the templates themselves are available to assist vendors in this process. See information at [http://drc.arizona.edu/about/vpat](http://drc.arizona.edu/about/vpat).

EIT is information technology (IT) and any equipment or interconnected system or subsystem of equipment that is used in the creation, conversion, or duplication of data or information. EIT includes, but is not limited to:

- telecommunication products, such as telephones;
- information kiosks and transaction machines;
- World Wide Web sites;
- software;
- multimedia (including videotapes); and
- office equipment, such as copiers and fax machines.

The University of Arizona reserves the right to perform real-world testing of a product or service to validate vendor claims regarding Section 508 conformance. To facilitate testing, the vendor will, upon request, provide the University with access to the product being considered for purchase for a period of at least 30 calendar days.

4.3.2 Services and Products. An accessible service or product is one that can be used by as many people as possible, taking into account their physical, cognitive, emotional, and sensory differences.

Services provided include, but are not limited to:

- education and training;
- cultural and athletic events;
- vehicle rentals
- event space and lodging; and
- parking and transportation.

Products include, but are not limited to:

- office equipment;
- office and classroom furniture; and
4.4 **Conflict of Interest.** Pursuant to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statute § 38-511, the Arizona Board of Regents may, within three years after its execution, cancel the Agreement without penalty or further obligation if any person significantly involved in negotiating, drafting, securing or obtaining the Agreement for or on behalf of the Arizona Board of Regents becomes an employee in any capacity of any other party or a consultant to any other party with reference to the subject matter of the Agreement while the Agreement or any extension thereof is in effect.

4.5 **Drug Free Workplace.** The Successful Vendor agrees that in the performance of the Agreement, neither the Successful Vendor nor any employee of the Successful Vendor shall engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity covered by the Agreement. The University reserves the right to request a copy of the Successful Vendor’s Drug Free Workplace Policy. The Successful Vendor further agrees to insert a provision similar to this statement in all subcontracts for services required.

4.6 **Equal Opportunity.** The provisions of Section 202 of Executive Order 11246.41 C.F.R. Sec. 60-1.4.41 C.F.R. Sec. 60-250.4 and 41 C.F.R. Sec. 60-741.4 are incorporated herein by reference and shall be applicable to the Agreement unless the Agreement is exempted under the rules, regulations or orders of the U.S. Secretary of Labor.

4.7 **Federal, State, and Local Taxes, Licenses and Permits.** Successful Vendor is solely responsible for complying with all laws, ordinances, and regulations on taxes, licenses and permits, as they may apply to any matter under this RFP. The Successful Vendor must demonstrate that they are duly licensed by whatever regulatory body may so require during the performance of the Agreement. Prior to the commencement of Agreement, the Successful Vendor shall be prepared to provide evidence of such licensing as may be requested by the University. Successful Vendor shall, at no expense to the University, procure and keep in force during the entire period of the Agreement all such permits and licenses.

4.8 **Inspection and Audit.** Pursuant to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statute § 35-214, all books, accounts, reports, files and other records relating to the Agreement shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection and audit by the Arizona Board of Regents, The University of Arizona or the Auditor General of the State of Arizona, or their agents for five (5) years after completion or termination of the Agreement.

4.9 **Liens.** Each Successful Vendor shall keep the University free and clear from all liens asserted by any person or entity for any reason arising out of the furnishing of services or materials by or to the Successful Vendor.

4.10 **Modifications.** The Agreement can be modified or rescinded only by a writing signed by both parties or their duly authorized agents.

4.11 **Non-Discrimination.** The parties shall comply with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations governing equal employment opportunity, non-discrimination, and immigration.

4.12 **Sales and Use Tax.** The Successful Vendor agrees to comply with and to require all of his subcontractors to comply with all the provisions of applicable law. The Successful Vendor
further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the University from any and all claims and
demands made against it by virtue of the failure of the Successful Vendor or any
subcontractors to comply with the provisions of any and all said laws. The University is not
exempt from state sales and use tax, except for equipment purchased for research or
development. Any equipment ordered as tax exempt shall be invoiced separately from
taxable systems, even if purchased on the same purchase order as issued by the University.

4.13 **Prohibited Harassment.** Federal law and the policies of the University prohibit sexual
harassment of University employees or students. Sexual harassment includes any
unwelcome sexual advance toward a University employee or student, any request for a
sexual favor from a University employee or student, or any other verbal or physical conduct of
a sexual nature that is so pervasive as to create a hostile or offensive working environment
for University employees, or a hostile or offensive academic environment for University
students. University vendors, subcontractors and suppliers for this project are required to
exercise control over their employees so as to prohibit acts of sexual harassment of
University employees and students. The employer of any person who the University, in its
reasonable judgment, determines has committed an act of sexual harassment agrees as a
term and condition of the Agreement to cause such person to be removed from the project
site and from University premises and to take such other action as may be reasonably
necessary to cause the sexual harassment to cease.

4.14 **Small Business Utilization Program.** The University is committed to its Small Business
Utilization Program and to the development of Small Business. If subcontracting is
necessary, the Successful Vendor will make every effort to use Small Businesses in the
performance of the Agreement.

4.15 **Smoking and Tobacco Policy.** This policy applies to the University of Arizona main campus
in Tucson, the Arizona Health Sciences Center, the Phoenix Biomedical Center, UA South
and all University vehicles. This policy applies to University students, faculty, employees,
contractors, volunteers, and visitors on its campuses and in its vehicles. To view the
complete policy, click on [http://www.hr.arizona.edu/policy/classified-staff/408.0](http://www.hr.arizona.edu/policy/classified-staff/408.0). The
Successful Vendor is expected to respect this tobacco free policy and fully comply with it.

4.16 **Export Control.** The Parties acknowledge that any goods, materials, or services specified in
this solicitation may be subject to the export control laws of the United States, specifically
including, but not limited to, the requirements of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations
(ITAR), 22 C.F.R. 120 et seq., and the Export Administration Regulations, 15 C.F.R. 730-774.
Vendor shall inform the designated Buyer of the export classification in their response. The
University may elect not to receive certain export controlled items.

4.17 **Administrative (Legal) Remedies.** The Arizona Board of Regents has promulgated
Administrative (Legal) Remedies for alleged breaches or disputes arising from the
Agreement. These remedies are exclusive and must be exhausted before the filing of any
legal action.

4.18 **Assignment-Delegation.** No right or interest in the Agreement shall be assigned or
delegation of any obligation made by Successful Vendor without the written permission of the
University. Any attempted assignment or delegation by Successful Vendor shall be wholly
void and totally ineffective for all purposes unless made in conformity with this paragraph.

4.19 **Assignment of Anti-Trust Overcharge Claims.** The parties recognize that in actual
economic practice overcharges resulting from anti-trust violations are in fact borne by the
ultimate purchaser; therefore, Successful Vendor hereby assigns to the University any and all claims for such overcharges.

4.20 **Date for Reckoning Prompt-Payment Discount.** For purposes of determining whether a prompt-payment discount, if applicable, may be taken by the University, the starting date of such reckoning period shall be the later of the date of a properly executed invoice or the date of completion of service and/or delivery of product.

4.21 **Force Majeure.** Neither party shall be held responsible for any losses resulting if the fulfillment of any terms or provisions of the Agreement are delayed or prevented by any cause not within the control of the party whose performance is interfered with, and which by the exercise of reasonable diligence, said party is unable to prevent.

4.22 **Indemnification / Hold Harmless.** The Successful Vendor shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless to the fullest extent allowed by law the State of Arizona, the Arizona Board of Regents and the University, its officers, agents, and employees ("Indemnitees") from any and all claims, demands, suits, actions, proceedings, loss, cost, and damages of every kind and description, including attorneys' fees and/or litigation expenses, which may be brought or made against or incurred on account of breach, or loss of or damage to any property, or for injuries to or death of any person, or financial loss incurred by Indemnitees, caused by, arising out of, or contributed to, in whole or in part, by reasons of any act, omission, professional error, fault, mistake, or negligence of Successful Vendor, its employees, agents, representatives, or subcontractors, their employees, agents, or representatives in connection with or incident to the performance of the Agreement, or arising out of Workers Compensation claims, Unemployment Compensation claims, or Unemployment Disability Compensation claims of employees of Successful Vendor and/or its subcontractors of claims under similar such laws and obligations. Successful Vendor’s obligation under this provision shall not extend to any liability caused by the sole negligence of the State of Arizona, Arizona Board of Regents, University or its officers, agents, and employees. Such indemnification shall specifically include infringement claims made against any and all intellectual property supplied by Successful Vendor and third party infringement under the Agreement.

4.23 **Insurance Requirements.** Without limiting any liabilities or any other obligations of Successful Vendor, the Successful Vendor shall provide and maintain the minimum insurance coverage listed below unless otherwise agreed to in writing. Coverage shall be provided with forms and insurers acceptable to the University until all obligations under the Agreement are satisfied.

- Commercial General Liability insurance with a minimum combined single limit of TWO MILLION DOLLARS ($2,000,000) each occurrence.
- Commercial Automobile Liability insurance with a minimum combined single limit of ONE MILLION DOLLARS ($1,000,000) each occurrence.

The insurance policies required in the two statements above shall be endorsed to name the State of Arizona, Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona as additional insured and shall stipulate that the insurance afforded the Successful Vendor shall be primary insurance and that any insurance carried by the State of Arizona, the Arizona Board of Regents and the University of Arizona, their agents, officials or employees shall be excess and not contributory insurance to that provided by Successful Vendor.

- If applicable, Worker's Compensation insurance in accordance with applicable Arizona Statutes, for any employees engaged in the performance of Agreement; and
• Employer’s Liability insurance with a minimum limit of ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ($100,000).

A certificate of insurance acceptable to the University shall be furnished to the University prior to the commencement of Agreement as evidence that policies providing the required coverage, conditions and limits are in full force and effect.

4.24 Additional Insurance Requirements (Consulting). In addition to the minimum insurance coverage and endorsement requirements stated in the paragraphs above, the Successful Vendor shall provide and maintain the minimum insurance coverage listed below unless otherwise agreed to in writing:

• Professional Liability/Errors and Omissions insurance with a minimum combined single limit of ONE MILLION DOLLARS ($1,000,000) each occurrence.

A certificate of insurance acceptable to the University shall be furnished to the University prior to the commencement of Agreement as evidence that policies providing the required coverage, conditions and limits as stated within this paragraph and the above paragraphs are in full force and effect.

4.25 Additional Insurance Requirements (Medical Services Agreement). Not Applicable.

4.26 Intellectual Property. It is understood and agreed that ownership of intellectual property developed as a result of fulfilling the requirements of this Request for Proposals belongs solely and exclusively to the Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona. Documents/drawings used in this proposal belong to the Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona and/or are being used with permission. Intellectual property as used herein, means all forms of legally protectable intellectual property, including copyrights, trademarks, inventions, patent applications, patents and mask works, drawings and/or blueprints. It is also understood and agreed that anything created as a result of an award of this proposal is considered a work for hire under the U.S. copyright laws and as such, the Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona will own the copyright.

4.27 Labor Disputes. Successful Vendor shall give prompt notice to the University of any actual or potential labor dispute which delays or may delay performance of the Agreement.

4.28 Laws and Regulations. Successful Vendors are solely responsible for keeping themselves fully informed of and faithfully observing all laws, ordinances, and regulations affecting the rights of their employees, and shall protect and indemnify the University, its officers and agents against any claims of liability arising from or based on any violation thereof.

4.29 No Waiver of Right by the University. No waiver by University of any breach of the provisions of the Agreement by the Successful Vendor shall in any way be construed to be a waiver of any future breach or bar the University’s right to insist on strict performance of the provisions of the Agreement.

4.30 Parking. The Successful Vendor shall obtain all parking permits and/or decals that may be required while performing project work on University premises. The Successful Vendor should contact Parking and Transportation Services located at 1117 E. Sixth St., Tucson AZ 85721-0181.
4.31 **Performance and/or Payment Bonds.** The Successful Vendor shall provide and pay for performance and/or payment bonds. Such bonds shall cover the faithful performance (100%) of the Agreement and the payment of all obligations (100%) arising thereunder, in such form as the University may prescribe and with approved sureties. The Successful Vendor shall deliver the required bonds to the University not later than the date of executing the Agreement. The Successful Vendor shall require the attorney in fact who executes the required bonds on behalf of the surety to affix thereto a certified and current copy of his power of attorney indicating the monetary limit of such power. Surety shall be a company licensed to do business in the State of Arizona and shall be acceptable to the University. The bond amount shall be increased to include any change order added to the Agreement to one hundred percent (100%) of the total of each change order.

4.32 **Payment Terms.** Payments by the University shall be subject to the provision of Title 35 of Arizona Revised Statutes relating to time and manner of submission of claims. The University's obligation is payable only and solely from funds appropriated for the purpose of the Agreement. Unless otherwise stated herein, the payment terms for the Agreement are Net 30 days.

4.33 **Price Adjustment for Multi-Year Contracts.** Price changes will normally only be considered at the end of one Agreement period and the beginning of another. Price change requests shall be in writing, submitted at least sixty (60) days prior to the end of the current Agreement period, and shall be supported by written evidence of increased costs to the Successful Vendor. The University will not approve unsupported price increases that will merely increase the gross profitability of the Successful Vendor at the expense of the University. Price change requests shall be a factor in the Agreement extension review process. The University shall, in its sole opinion, determine whether the requested price increase or an alternate option is in the best interest of the University.

4.34 **Prior Course of Dealings.** No trade usage, prior course of dealing, or course of performance under other agreements shall be a part of any agreement resulting from this RFP; nor shall such trade usage, prior course of dealing, or course of performance be used in the interpretation or construction of such resulting agreement.

4.35 **Referencing of Orders.** For each order issued against an agreement resulting hereunder, the University intends in good faith to reference this RFP for pricing, terms and conditions, delivery location, and other particulars. However, in the event the University fails to do so, the University's right to such terms, conditions, and particulars shall not be affected, and no liability of any kind or amount shall accrue to the University.

4.36 **Remedies and Applicable Law.** The Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Arizona. University and Successful Vendor shall have all remedies afforded each by said law. The venue in any action or litigation commenced to enforce the Agreement shall be instituted in the appropriate courts in Arizona.

4.37 **Right of Assurance.** Whenever one party to the Agreement in good faith has reason to question the other party's intent to perform, he may demand that the other party give a written assurance of their intent to perform. In the event that a demand is made and no written assurance is given within ten calendar (10) days, the demanding party may treat this failure as an anticipatory repudiation of the Agreement.

4.38 **Right of Offset.** The University shall be entitled to offset against any sums due the Successful Vendor, any expenses or costs incurred by the University, or damages assessed
by the University concerning the Successful Vendor’s non-conforming performance or failure to perform the Agreement, or any other debt owing the University, including expenses, costs and damages described in the termination provisions contained herein.

4.39 Termination

4.39.1 Convenience. The University reserves the right to terminate the Agreement in whole or in part at any time when in the best interests of the University without penalty or recourse. Upon receipt of the written notice, the Successful Vendor shall immediately stop all work as directed in the notice, notify all subcontractors of the effective date of the termination and minimize all further costs to the University. In the event of termination under this provision, all documents, data and reports prepared by the Successful Vendor under the Agreement shall become the property of and delivered to the University. The Successful Vendor shall be entitled to receive just and equitable compensation for work in progress, work completed and materials accepted before the effective date of termination. Such compensation shall be the Successful Vendor’s sole remedy against the University in the event of termination under this provision.

4.39.2 Default. The University reserves the right to terminate the Agreement in whole or in part due to the failure of the Successful Vendor to comply with any term or condition of the Agreement, to acquire and maintain all required insurance policies, bonds, licenses and permits, or to make satisfactory progress in performing the Agreement. The University shall provide written notice of the termination and the reasons for it to the Successful Vendor. Upon termination under this provision, all goods, materials, documents, data and reports prepared by the Successful Vendor under the Agreement shall become the property of and be delivered to the University on demand. The University may, upon termination of the Agreement, procure, on terms and in the manner that it deems appropriate, materials or services to replace those under the Agreement. The Successful Vendor shall be liable to the University for any Excess Costs incurred by the University in re-procuring the materials or services.

4.39.3 Gratuities. The University may, by written notice to the Successful Vendor, cancel the Agreement if it is discovered by the University that gratuities, in the form of entertainment, gifts or other, were offered or given by the Successful Vendor, or any agent or representative of the Successful Vendor, to any officer or employee of the University with a view toward securing an Agreement or securing favorable treatment with respect to the awarding or amending, or the making of any determinations with respect to the performing of such Agreement. In the event the Agreement is canceled by the University pursuant to this provision, University shall be entitled, in addition to any other rights and remedies, to recover or withhold the amount of the cost incurred by Successful Vendor in providing such gratuities.

4.39.4 Insolvency. The University shall have the right to terminate the Agreement at any time in the event Successful Vendor files a petition in bankruptcy; or is adjudicated bankrupt; or if a petition in bankruptcy is filed against Successful Vendor and not discharged within thirty (30) days; or if Successful Vendor becomes insolvent or makes an assignment for the benefit of its creditors or an arrangement pursuant to any bankruptcy law; or if a receiver is appointed for Successful Vendor or its business.
4.39.5 **Lack of Funding.** The Agreement may be canceled without further obligation on the part of the Arizona Board of Regents and the University of Arizona in the event that sufficient appropriated funding is unavailable to assure full performance of the terms. The Successful Vendor shall be notified in writing of such non-appropriation as soon as reasonably possible. No penalty shall accrue to the Board or the University in the event this cancellation provision is exercised. This cancellation provision shall not be construed so as to permit the University to terminate the Agreement in order to acquire similar equipment, material, supplies or services from another party.

4.39.6 **Stop Work Order.** The University may at any time, by written order to the Successful Vendor, require the Successful Vendor to stop all or any part of the work called for by the Agreement for a period of ninety (90) days after the order is delivered to the Successful Vendor, and for any further period to which the parties may agree. The order shall be specifically identified as a Stop Work Order issued under this provision. Upon receipt of the order, the Successful Vendor shall immediately comply with its terms and take all reasonable steps to minimize the incidence of costs allocable to the work covered by the order during the period of work stoppage. If a Stop Work Order issued under this provision is canceled or the period of the order or any extension expires, the Successful Vendor shall resume work. The University shall make an equitable adjustment in the delivery schedule or Agreement price, or both, and the Agreement shall be amended in writing accordingly.

4.39.7 **Suspension or Debarment.** The University may by written notice to the Successful Vendor immediately terminate the Agreement if the University determines that the Successful Vendor has been debarred, suspended or otherwise lawfully prohibited from participating in any public procurement activity, including but not limited to, being disapproved as a subcontractor Vendor of any public procurement unit or other governmental body.

4.40 **Continuation of Performance through Termination.** The Successful Vendor shall continue to perform, in accordance with the requirements of Agreement, up to the date of termination, as directed in the termination notice.

4.41 **Confidentiality.** The parties shall comply with 20 USC Section 1232(g), the Buckley Amendment to the Family Educational Right and Privacy Act of 1974. Therefore, Vendor shall not be entitled to receive Employee or Student information directly from University, other than public information available in University directories which is not protected by federal or state privacy or confidentiality statutes or regulations. Vendor may solicit Employee and Student information directly from Employees and Students subject to prior disclosures by Vendor of all intended uses of such information. Regardless of the Employee or Student personal information, even if such information is publicly available via directories, Vendor shall under no circumstances sell, duplicate, market, or give to any person or persons, entities or other companies a list or other personal information of any or all Employees or Students. All identities and personal information Employees and Students shall remain confidential. And disclosure by Vendor occurring without the express prior written consent of the Employee or Student shall result in the immediate termination of this agreement.

4.42 **Non-Discrimination, Affirmative Action.** Contractor and subcontractor shall abide by the requirements of 41 CFR §§ 60-1.4(a), 60-300.5(a) and 60-741.5(a). These regulations prohibit discrimination against qualified individuals based on their status as protected veterans or individuals with disabilities, and prohibit discrimination against all individuals
based on their race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. Moreover, these regulations require that covered prime contractors and subcontractors take affirmative action to employ and advance in employment individuals without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, protected veteran status or disability.

5.0 SCOPE OF WORK, SPECIFICATIONS, TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

5.1 Term of Agreement. Three (3) years with the option to renew for up to two (2) additional years in one year increments upon mutual agreement of both parties.

5.2 Project Scope. In excess of twenty (20) University of Arizona College and Administrative Units have come together to collaborate on the specifications for this RFP. Interest in an IT focused “Common Help Desk” solution sprang from the ineffectiveness felt by the campus community with regard to timely and well-organized routing of work submitted through the centralized help desk, and the lack of local solutions, or, local and centrally integrated solutions. The nature of IT Support at the University is highly complex with the intricacies of distributed, highly autonomous decision making, service delivery processes and expectations, as well as, services and environments prone to change, highly responsive to daily demands, in a fiscal and resource constrained environment. The need to do more with less is pervasive and requires the campus community to come together to re-think how work is performed and to identify new ways to collaborate in service delivery such that:

A consumer of services can easily find the service, understand what the service entails and how it will be delivered, allowing for the formation of realistic expectations, as well as the simple request and receipt of the desired service.

A service provider can understand what the consumer is asking for, route or assign the service task to the most qualified / most available service delivery resource, to provide the service and understand the effort related to providing that service.

The institution can better understand the service delivery capabilities and maturity of the UA IT Professional Community, and thus better support the community to the ongoing benefit of the institution and its ability to accomplish its mission.

While the genesis and embodiment of this work has stemmed from the UA IT Professional Community, as solutions and service delivery models were uncovered and participants considered the business related work in their area that often complements IT focused work, the desire to generalize the definition of services has raised the discussion to include general Business Office Services. The notion of ‘a service is a service,’ is a loose mantra. Whether a service is for Account Set-up, or a service is for Request to Purchase – the key aspects of a consumer selecting a service, providing necessary information, the work request being routed to the appropriate party, obtaining an approval to proceed with the request, the fulfillment of the request is fundamentally similar. The fact that Account Set-Up is historically a technical service request, and Request to Purchase is historically a business office service request, is irrelevant. The need for utilizing a single service management solution is further demonstrated by the scenario wherein the Account Set-up is in response to hiring, which may also require a Request to Purchase IT related equipment for said new resource. Managing the whole (greatest extent) service request / delivery ecosystem in a single solution should, over time, drive the greatest efficiency and effectiveness.

With regard to collaborative or ‘Federated’ service delivery, services must be able to be defined where the service delivery resources represent collaborating units, to provide the
service. This collaboration will go across unit boundaries and organizational structures. The use of Grouper is desired to support this cross unit collaboration. Information about Grouper and its use can be found at: http://sia.ults.arizona.edu/grouper. The ability to establish federated support groups to effectively deliver services is fundamental to a successful implementation.

The needs revealed in this RFP are greater than Service Management or Help Desk Management, in the strict sense. The daily operations within both the Campus Units and the Central Units are comprised of activities related to services. Therefore the ability to identify a service which has failed or a service which has failed ‘n’ times in the last ‘n’ period, resulting in a problem to be resolved, is also necessary. Thus, this RFP includes features and capabilities envisioned to support the holistic needs of the community and organization, covering the best practice / ITIL areas of:

- Incident Management
- Knowledge Management
- Problem Management
- Change Management
- Asset Management
- Service Level Management
- Configuration Management

Key to successful management of the operation, is the ability to address these areas of practice in a fully integrated and cohesive fashion. Solutions necessitating redundant efforts, including silo specific / repeated service or asset objects in disparate modules, partial or limited reporting, etc., will not meet the needs of the organization.

With regard to infrastructure architecture, where these topics are revealed in the detailed specifications,

Vendors proposing On Premise solutions must:
- Clearly state whether or not infrastructure components are included in the pricing.
- Specify the ‘recommended’ infrastructure architecture to best meet the needs articulated throughout this RFP.

Vendors proposing SAAS solutions must:
- Clearly identify the architecture / storage capacities provided in correspondence with the pricing. If pricing fluctuates based on storage or other criteria, the vendor must clearly identify thresholds and related pricing changes.

Vendors proposing Hybrid solutions must address all of these infrastructure, architecture, storage / threshold aspects, and reflect all related costs in the pricing.

Vendors making use of third parties to provide any portion of the proposed solution must clearly identify the third party, their rationale for choosing said third party, manage that third party and their delivery responsibility, and include any related costs in the pricing section.

To the greatest extent, the University is looking for an integrated, cohesive and turnkey solution, to meet its goal of efficient and effective operations service management.

5.2.1 Detailed Specification Line Items
Detail Specifications List, Vendor Instructions and Response key.

An Excel version of the Detailed Specification Line Items has been provided with the RFP.

Vendor responses to the Detailed Specification Line Items must be returned via the separate Excel file. The Microsoft Excel Detail Specifications Response file, must be returned completed, with:

- No columns added or removed
- No rows added or removed
- No changes to the Worksheet or Cell formatting
- Microsoft Excel .xlsx format

Vendors are encouraged to use the Microsoft Excel Alt Enter function to insert line breaks in the verbose text response column, when desired.

The specifications list includes the following columns for response:

| **Available OOTB?** | This column is intended to identify whether the specified functionality is available Out Of The Box.  
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Y/N/P/C             | Y – Yes, the proposed solution clearly meets the specification, Out Of The Box.  
|                     | N – No, the proposed solution clearly does not meet the specification.  
|                     | P – Partial, the proposed solution partially meets the specification.  
|                     | C – Conditional, the proposed solution meets the specification given certain conditions.  

| **If Requires Work < 4 Hrs. ( X )** | For Partial and Conditional Responses, does the qualified response require vendor effort to meet the specification?  
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                     | If the effort is 4 HOURS OR LESS, place an “X” in this column.  

| **If Requires Work > 4 Hrs. ( X )** | For Partial and Conditional Responses, does the qualified response require vendor effort to meet the specification?  
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                     | If the effort is MORE THAN 4 HOURS, place an “X” in this column.  

| **Response Text** | This column is provided for textual explanations and descriptions of functionality. Specification Items responded to as Partial or Conditional, should be further described in this column.  
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | To the greatest extent possible, use this column for textual descriptions.  
|                  | Vendors are encouraged to use the Microsoft Excel Alt Enter function to insert line breaks in the verbose text response column, when desired  
|                  | If additional space is necessary for verbose / textual descriptions, include a Cross Referenced Specification Response Addendum, cross referenced to the detailed list.
5.2.2 Customer References

- Vendor must provide five (5) contactable current customer references, which are of similar size and complexity and for similar products or services specified in this RFP, including the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of the contact persons.

- Vendor must provide two (2) contactable past customer references (Institutions or Organizations which have chosen to discontinue their business relationship with the vendor), which are of similar size and complexity and for similar products or services specified in this RFP, including the names, email addresses and telephone numbers of the contact persons.

5.2.3 Pricing: Overall cost range of project

Vendors are highly encouraged to provide institutional / enterprise type pricing which does not require named license users, and does not have limited availability, capability or growth. The proposed solution is expected to be utilized by a broad campus community of IT, Business and Academic Professionals delivering services (800 - 2,000), in addition to the need for other employees and students to participate as end users / customers of the solution (total of potential requestors of service / incident reporters is ~60,000).

Instructions to Vendors:

- If a modular solution is proposed, both module and bundled (including all modules required to meet the specifications of this RFP) pricing must be provided.
- If a tiered pricing model is proposed, breakpoint thresholds must be clearly identifiable.
- If pricing varies by interface device type, the method for identifying the source of the device data, must be clearly described.
- If pricing is based on user counts, clearly describe how user count knowledge is managed and any provisions or concessions for the ebb and flow of user counts throughout the academic calendar year.
- If user role is related to pricing, clearly describe how user role knowledge is managed and any provisions or concessions for the ebb and flow of user counts throughout the academic calendar year.
- If pricing is provided for a number of floating licenses, clearly describe how user knowledge is managed and any provisions or concessions for the ebb and flow of user counts throughout the academic calendar year.
- All reasonably anticipated costs whether direct out pocket costs or soft out of pocket costs, to achieve the successful implementation of the solution must be identified, including but not limited to:
  - Implementation services
  - Training services
  - Integration services – by integration to the greatest extent possible
  - Upgrades or acquisitions for additional features / functionality
- If a premium is charged for adding features / functionality ‘after’ the initial implementation, the premium must be identified as a separate pricing line item
  - Maintenance of all proposed solution software
  - Support of the proposed solution
  - Professional services related to
    - Definition / implementation of common taxonomy
    - Business process or workflow
    - Operational governance
  - Five year total cost of ownership must be provided
    - Consider Staffing Costs the University should budget for support and administration of the solution. Provide an Annual Soft Cost for these support resources, including Job Title, FTE Count, Level of Expertise and corresponding Annual Salary Assumption.

Pricing Matrix:

Vendors are requested to provide a pricing matrix based on the following structure, which includes all reasonably anticipated costs to achieve the successful implementation and operation of the solution for five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Item Description</th>
<th>Year 1 Cost</th>
<th>Year 2 Cost</th>
<th>Year 3 Cost</th>
<th>Year 4 Cost</th>
<th>Year 5 Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Out of Pocket Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2 -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etc....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.4 Implementation Plan

A successful implementation will require participation and effort by the University Staff, as well as the successful Vendor’s. Within a diverse and distributed environment, a successful implementation will also require coordination and collaboration to define and put into effect a shared common helpdesk solution, a universal taxonomy and best operational practices. Implementation requirements will vary based on whether an on premise or a cloud solution is proposed. Also, successful adoption will be impacted by customer training and support; requiring a well-planned training approach and customer support strategy during the implementation and first 120 days post go-live.
Vendors are asked to provide an exemplar implementation and training plan to meet the UA goals, describing and including:

- The implementation process. Including, whether your company provides professional services for installation, configuration and training. If your proposal includes third party Partners to address any of the implementation services, please provide information about the partner’s services, expertise and rationale for selecting them to work on the UA project with you.

- Professional services during implementation. Please provide detailed pricing, including all implementation services that can be reasonably identified based on the RFP content.

- Whether you provide a single point of contact for installation and implementation coordination.

- Pre-defined workflows, templates and reporting would be available and describe customizations needed to meet UA goals.

- The technical architecture for your implementation recommendation and installation plan, including impacts of choosing either a local vs cloud based installation.

- If and how the recommended implementation plan may be impacted by future upgrades.

- The vendor’s Project Management philosophy and PM assignment strategy for this project, including an indication of dedicated vs. shared resources.

- An approach for rolling out the common help desk service in the UA’s distributed environment. Provide a recommendation and approach for pilots, phases, and retiring old system.

- The process for integrations including institutional data and 3rd party applications including, Enterprise Directory Service (EDS) / LDAP, PeopleSoft, JIRA, Confluence, Shibboleth, Active Directory / Exchange, Bomgar, Pinnacle (for information about Pinnacle see http://www.calero.com/about-us/ telecommunications management). Include whether or not any out of the box integrations already exist and for which versions.

- The Knowledgebase setup and management process. Describe what KB articles will be provided by the Vendor; what will we have available to use after implementation is complete; what is available to end users and what is available to technical staff.

- Identification of roles and responsibilities for each organization (U of A and Vendor)
  o Key activities and milestones and level of effort (person hours) for each
  o Practical timelines to achieve a successful implementation.

- Vendor’s Customer Service philosophy and strategy for this project, including customer service center location(s), bench strength and an escalation strategy.
The recommended number of administrators and support staff, including an organizational structure for this type of distributed environment.

5.2.5 Vendor Roadmap

A Vendor’s ability to develop a product and sustain a service operation over time, wherein the products and services continue to mature and evolve as new technologies and opportunities arise, is critical to the long term success of its customers. Understanding the Vendor’s research and development practices, business development strategy, and the history of the product, as well as the vendor’s plans for the product’s future, is critical to mitigate the Universities concerns about Vendor stability.

Vendors are asked to provide the following business information:

- Their business start date.
- Their historical sales information for the last five years, by gross revenue and count of new customer accounts.
- A breakdown of staff by job function (contractors must be identified separately from employees who may be performing the same job function), and including the average number of years of verifiable experience within the functional area, and including geographic location.

Vendors are asked to provide verifiable information about the development of their solution including:

- Developmental history of their product for the last three years, by release and corresponding key adjustments and features.
- Information on future planned features or functions, including whether said future planned features or functions are available for the University to consume as a provision of a successful award, at no additional cost.

5.2.6 Vendor Presentation

Invited Vendors will be welcomed to the University of Arizona to present their proposed solutions. The service management solution will be used by the broad campus community. As such, the campus community will be invited to attend the first segment of the vendor presentation for this RFP. In addition to the campus presentation Vendors will meet with a smaller community of IT professionals who have collaborated on the RFP (these IT professionals may or may not be part of the Evaluation Committee). The Evaluation Committee also anticipates a desire for exclusive time with the Vendor.

As such, invited Vendors should plan on spending an entire business day with the University, to allow for the three successive meetings.

- Open Campus Community Meeting (Anticipate 1.5 hours)
  - Use cases to be included for demonstration will be sent to the invited vendors no later than one week prior to the scheduled presentation.
  - Vendors may augment the presentation with information they deem appropriate for a broad community.

- IT Professionals from the Community (Anticipate 3.5 - 4 hours)
  - A Service will be provided to vendors during this portion of the presentation day schedule. The Vendor must CREATE the service in
their proposed solution, during this portion of the Vendor Presentation. *The vendor will be allowed two hours on their own to review the Service Definition and discuss it among themselves. Then the Vendor will be asked to CREATE the service in the proposed solution, for the audience to view the creation process.* Ease of creation and management of services is fundamental to the success of a service management solution. With the intention of understanding the ease / difficulty of defining new services and the related workflows, the vendor will be asked to implement the provided service.

- A service definition document will be provided including such items as:
  - Service Identification – describing the purpose of the service and who would be involved.
  - Service Planning – describing the business perspective and what it will take to provide the service, its value and to what level the service needs to be delivered.
  - Service Design – describing required aspects of the service that are not ‘steps’ in delivering the service, such as price and pricing model.
- A sequence diagram will be provided including the anticipated service delivery steps.
- Evaluation Committee Meeting (Anticipate 1.5 hours)
  - This time will be spent clarifying aspects of the proposal, and aspects of the presentation and service creation exercise, as needed.
  - The Evaluation Committee will have a list of questions ready to discuss that will be distributed during the meeting.

5.3 **Method of Payment & Discount for Early Payment.** The University’s preferred method of payment is via credit card. The University would issue a Purchase Order and upon receipt of goods or services, pay subsequent invoices by credit card.

Will you accept payment via credit card?  Yes _____  No _____  
Do you offer an early payment discount?  Yes _____  No _____  
If yes, what is your offer?  _____ % if paid within _____ days after the University receives a proper, accurate and uncontested Invoice for Payment.

If payment via credit card is accepted and an early payment discount is offered, would the University receive the discount if paying by credit card?  Yes _____  No _____

6.0 **CERTIFICATIONS AND FORMS** (Vendor to complete and return with proposal)

6.1 **Certification of Proposal**

6.2 **Legal Workers Certification**
6.1. **Certification of Proposal** (vendor to complete and return with proposal)

**Explanation.** This certification attests to the vendor’s awareness and agreement to the content of this RFP and all accompanying provisions contained herein.

**Action.** Vendor is to ensure that the following certificate is duly completed and correctly executed by an authorized officer of your company.

This proposal is submitted in response to Request for Proposals # L201602, issued by the University of Arizona. The undersigned, as a duly authorized officer, hereby certifies that ________________________________ (Vendor Name), located at ______________________________________________________________________ (address), agrees to be bound by the content of this proposal and agrees to comply with the terms, conditions and provisions of the referenced Request for Proposals (RFP) and any addenda thereto in the event of an award. Exceptions are to be noted as stated in the RFP. The proposal shall remain in effect for a period of ninety-(90) calendar days as of the Due Date for responses to the RFP.

The undersigned certifies that to the best of his/her knowledge: (check one)

- [ ] There is no officer or employee of the University of Arizona who has, or whose relative has, a substantial interest in any Contract award subsequent to this proposal.
- [ ] The names of any and all public officers or employees of the University of Arizona who have, or who’s relative has, a substantial interest in any Contract award subsequent to this proposal are identified by name as part of this submittal.

The undersigned further certifies that their firm (check one) [ ] IS or [ ] IS NOT currently debarred, suspended, or proposed for debarment by any federal entity. The undersigned agrees to notify the University of any change in this status, should one occur, until such time as an award has been made under this procurement action.

In accordance with **Purchasing Policy 4.3** – Small Business Utilization Program, the Undersigned further certifies that your business (check the appropriate areas) [ ] does or [ ] does not meet the Federal (S.B.A.) Small Business definition (FAR 19.001) and size standards (FAR 19.102). If it does, please “CHECK” one of the following: [ ] Small Business [ ] Small Disadvantaged [ ] Small Business Women-Owned [ ] Women-Owned Disadvantaged [ ] Veteran owned [ ] HUB Zone [ ] Disabled Veteran Owned [ ] Alaska Native Corp. [ ] Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions

Arizona Small Business (has less than 100 fulltime employees, including employees employed in any subsidiary or affiliated corporation) please “CHECK one of the following: [ ] AZ. Small Business [ ] AZ. Women Owned [ ] AZ Disadvantaged [ ] AZ Disadvantaged Women-owned.

The undersigned further certifies that as a duly authorized officer, is authorized to negotiate in good faith on behalf of this firm for purposes of this Request for Proposals.

Name: ________________________________  Title: ________________________________

Signature: ________________________________  Date: ________  Email: __________

Telephone #: ______________  Facsimile #: ______________  F.E.I.N: ______________
6.2. LEGAL WORKER CERTIFICATION

Required for all Contracts for: Services; Construction or Maintenance of any Structure, Building or Transportation Facility; or Improvements to Real Property costing $100K and over.

Date: ____________________________

Procurement and Contracting Services
University of Arizona
PO Box 210300Tucson, AZ 85721-0300

As required by Arizona Revised Statutes §41-4401 the University is prohibited after September 30, 2008 from awarding a contract to any contractor who fails, or whose subcontractors fail, to comply with Arizona Revised Statutes § 23-214-A. The undersigned entity warrants that it complies fully with all federal immigration laws and regulations that relate to its employees, that it shall verify, through the employment verification pilot program as jointly administered by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Social Security Administration or any of its successor programs, the employment eligibility of each employee hired after December 31, 2007, and that it shall require its subcontractors to provide the same warranties to the below entity.

The undersigned acknowledges that a breach of this warranty by the below entity or by any subcontractor(s) under any Contract resulting from this solicitation shall be deemed a material breach of the Contract and is grounds for penalties, including termination of the Contract by the University. The University retains the right to inspect the records of the below entity, subcontractor(s) and employee(s) who perform work under the Contract, and to conduct random verification of the employment records of the below entity and any subcontractor(s) who perform work under the Contract, to ensure that the below entity and each subcontractor is complying with the warranties set forth above. Contractor shall be responsible for all costs associated with compliance with such programs.

__________________________________
(Firm) ____________________________

__________________________________
(Signature Required) ________________

__________________________________
(Print Name) _______________________

__________________________________
(Print Title) ________________________

__________________________________
(Phone) ___________________________

__________________________________
(Fax) ______________________________

__________________________________
(Federal Taxpayer ID Number) _______

(November 3, 2009)